

On Sequential Estimation of the Largest Normal Mean When the Variance Is Known

Author(s): Raymond J. Carroll

Source: *Sankhyā: The Indian Journal of Statistics, Series A (1961-2002)*, Vol. 40, No. 3 (Jul., 1978), pp. 294-302

Published by: [Springer](#) on behalf of the [Indian Statistical Institute](#)

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25050155>

Accessed: 09-03-2015 04:50 UTC

---

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



Springer and Indian Statistical Institute are collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *Sankhyā: The Indian Journal of Statistics, Series A (1961-2002)*.

<http://www.jstor.org>

# ON SEQUENTIAL ESTIMATION OF THE LARGEST NORMAL MEAN WHEN THE VARIANCE IS KNOWN\*

By RAYMOND J. CARROLL

*University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill*

*SUMMARY.* We define a class of stopping times for estimating the largest of  $k$  normal means when the variance is known. The class can achieve significant reduction in sample size compared to a related procedure due to Blumenthal (1976) because it employs an elimination feature which halts sampling on populations furnishing no information about the largest mean. The asymptotic behaviour of the stopping times and the mean square consistency of the estimators are studied.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k$  be the unknown means of  $k$  normal populations with common known variance  $\sigma^2$  (henceforth taken as unity). Let  $\bar{X}_{1n}, \dots, \bar{X}_{kn}$  be the sample means of  $n$  observations taken from the  $k$  populations, and define the ordered population and sample means by  $\theta_{[1]} \leq \dots \leq \theta_{[k]}$  and  $\bar{X}_{[1]n} \leq \dots \leq \bar{X}_{[k]n}$ . The problem is to construct a sequential stopping time for the estimation of  $\theta_{[k]}$  (by an estimator  $\theta_n^*$ , often taken as  $\bar{X}_{[k]n}$ ) with prespecified mean square error (MSE)  $r$ . The procedures we investigate depend on the estimates  $\Delta_{in} = \bar{X}_{[k]n} - \bar{X}_{[i]n}$  of  $\Delta_i = \theta_{[k]} - \theta_{[i]}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ).

Blumenthal (1976) constructed a purely sequential stopping time  $N_B$  and a related two-stage procedure  $N_B^*$ , obtaining results which may be summarized as follows. For  $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{k-1}$  fixed,  $rN_B$  and  $rN_B^*$  have almost sure limits as  $r \rightarrow 0$ , but asymptotic mean square consistency is verified only for the two-stage procedure for  $k = 2$ . If each  $\Delta_i$  is proportional to  $r^{1/2}$  ( $\Delta_i \sim r^{1/2}$ ), neither  $N_B$  nor  $N_B^*$  has an almost sure limit, but the limit distribution is computed only for the two-stage procedure  $N_B^*$  when  $k = 2$ ; asymptotic mean square consistency is not checked in this case for the sequential procedure  $N_B$ . Blumenthal indicates that for  $k = 2$ , his procedures will achieve approximately 10% savings in sample size when compared to a conservative, fixed-sample procedure.

---

\*This research was supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Grant No. AFOSR-75-2796. The author wishes to thank the Department of Theoretical Statistics, University of Minnesota for providing office space during part of this research.