

## DEFECT REPORT FORM

1. Defect Report Number: 9594/282

Title: Invalid references

2. Source: Editor

3. Addressed to:

- 4. (a)
- (b)

5. Date circulated by WG Secretariat:

6. Deadline for Response from Editor:

7. Defect Report Concerning: Incorrect references and missing references

ITU-T X.509 (2000) | ISO/IEC 9594-8: 2000

8. Qualifier: Editorial

9. References in Document:

4th edition, 2000 clauses 7, 7.3 & 12.1

10. Nature of Defect:

Clause 7 currently contains two incorrect references to X.519 (clause numbers have changed with 4<sup>th</sup> edition text and the references need to be updated). Also the same reference should be added to cover the same situation for CRLs and for attribute certificates.

11. Solution Proposed by the Source:

In clause 7, in the paragraph immediately following the definition of the version field and in the paragraph immediately following the definition of the extensions field, replace “documented in 7.5.2.2 in ITU-T Rec. X.519 | ISO/IEC 9594-5” with “documented in 12.2.2 in ITU-T Rec. X.519 | ISO/IEC 9594-5”.

In clause 7.3, immediately following Note 6 and in clause 12.1 immediately following the definition of the extensions field add the following new paragraph:

“If unknown elements appear within the extension, and the extension is not marked critical, those unknown elements shall be ignored according to the rules of extensibility documented in 12.2.2 in ITU-T Rec. X.519 | ISO/IEC 9594-5.”

12. Editor's Response:

Accepted solution proposed by source.